## **FISCAL NOTE**

## HB 577 - SB 926

March 27, 1997

**SUMMARY OF BILL:** Provides that it is not a defense to the enhancement of a sentence that the defendant was not warned at the time of the prior conviction that multiple offenses could enhance such sentence. Current law requires that a judge must advise any person convicted of a DUI offense about the enhanced penalties for subsequent convictions.

## **ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT:**

Increase Local Govt. Revenues - Not Significant Increase Local Govt. Expenditures - Not Significant

Assumes that, to the extent that individuals are currently not receiving enhanced sentences because they were not warned of such provisions, local governments would experience increased revenues and expenditures due to enhanced sentences. Impact depends upon the number of persons convicted of this offense and the resulting increased cost to local governments to confine such persons versus the increased revenues to local governments from fines levied and collected under the provisions of this bill.

## **CERTIFICATION:**

This is to duly certify that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

James A. Davenport, Executive Director

James a. Downpart